

January 7, 1976

bracketing it, Mr. Clerk? Would it be easier to just lay it over rather than bracketing?

CLERK: If he wants to take action in the morning.

PRESIDENT: All right. Notwithstanding the action of the House, is that your request that it be laid over until tomorrow? All right. We move to LB 386. I have been asked to announce by Mrs. Stull, the President of the Unicameral Club, that it will meet in the East Chamber at 2:00 p.m. today. So on behalf of Mrs. Stull, the President of the Unicameral Club, there is a meeting of that Club at 2 o'clock this afternoon in the East Chamber.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 386, again is a bill that was advanced on May 16th of last year without any consideration of the Committee amendments. Therefore, there are Committee amendments as well as some other amendments pending. The Committee amendments were offered by Senator Anderson's Miscellaneous Subjects Committee.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, I have offered a substitute amendment for the Committee amendment. We had a couple of problems that developed over the Committee amendment after the Committee report was filed. I have a substitute amendment. It is on the bill and I did have it printed in the Journal and I believe it was page 1548 or something like that that it was printed in the Journal during the last session. Basically the substitute amendment that I have incorporates all of the things that the Committee was talking about with one exception and that is the change in the composition of the Board that was suggested. We ran into a couple of problems there including a constitutional question. So anyway, I will describe the Committee amendments and hope that that will answer most of the questions. The first Committee amendment has to do with the age at which the registration process can take place. It is reduced from 25 to 24. This was done at the recommendation of the examining board. The second Committee amendment, instead of having a simple maximum fee of \$175 they can be charged for the registration of a professional engineer architect, the amendment says that the fee cannot exceed \$100 and then it says that, basically, the fee cannot exceed the amount required to recover all necessary and incidental expenses incurred in giving such applicant an application. So the fee cannot exceed the actual expenses which in any case cannot exceed \$100. Then we go to basically the same situation with the architect in training or the engineer in training where again the amendment says the amount required to recover all necessary and incidental expenses incurred in giving such applicant an application examination. So, again, actual expenses can be charged but nothing more than that. I move the adoption of the substitute amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, I am at a loss as to the Senator's wishes. Does his amendment on page 1548 strike the Committee amendments?